

**BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF THE
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY ENERGY
CENTER POWER PLANT PROJECT
IN FRESNO COUNTY
(SJVEC)**

DOCKET No. 01-AFC-22

APPLICATION COMPLETE
(DATA ADEQUATE)
JANUARY 9, 2002

**ERRATA TO THE COMMITTEE'S
PRESIDING MEMBER'S PROPOSED DECISION**

On December 4, 2003, the Committee published the Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (PMPD) for the SJVEC. On December 23, 2003, the Committee conducted a conference to receive comments on the Revised Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (RPMPD). The parties presented written comments prior to the Committee Conference and appeared at the conference to present their comments.

The Committee, having reviewed the entire oral and written comments filed in the proceedings, hereby files the following **ERRATA**. The Energy Commission's Final Decision will incorporate these changes, as adopted, and other minor editorial and chronological corrections as deemed appropriate by the Hearing Officer.

I. INTRODUCTION

- A. Page 1, first paragraph, third line is modified to identify the San Joaquin Valley Energy Center rather than Calpine as the Applicant. (12/23/03 RT 6:17-24.)
- B. Page 11, insert the following final paragraphs under the existing final paragraph of **Public Comment**:

"On December 23, 2003, various members of the public and governmental officials participated at the Committee Conference on this matter. They included Mr. James A. Benelli, a local resident, who provided comments opposing the proposed facility on the basis that it would increase air pollution and further degrade life in the San Joaquin Valley, particularly for asthma sufferers. (12/23/03 RT 52:21-56:18.)

Likewise, Mr. Robert Sarvey, a local resident participated by telephone to voice his opposition to Applicant's use of pre-1990 ERCs to mitigate SJVEC's operational air impacts, and the permitted level of allowable ammonia emissions. (12/23/03 RT 56:20-60:10.)

Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. (CARE) provided joint written comments, docketed on December 23, 2003, on its behalf and on behalf of California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation (CRLAF) in opposition to the proposed facility and its use of pre-1990 ERCs. These joint comments addressed, in addition, other areas of the PMPD's analysis such as Noise, Worker Safety and Fire Protection, and Socioeconomics (Environmental Justice) that are not favorable to our extension of a license to the proposed facility.

Conversely, Ms. Cruz Ramos, San Joaquin's City Manager, appeared at the conference and offered her personal comments in favor of the proposed SJVEC. In addition, Ms. Ramos read a letter from the City's Mayor, Rosemary Ramirez, in support of the project as a means to provide an economic revitalization for the City of San Joaquin and the surrounding Fresno County region. (12/23/03 RT 60:12-62:16.)

We have carefully reviewed all the comments and we thank those participants for their involvement in our process. However, the evidence of record convinces us that the proposed facility should be licensed as conditioned in this Decision."

II. COMPLIANCE AND CLOSURE

COM-8, on pages 42-43 is replaced with the following language:

"Construction and Operation Security Plan, COM-8

Thirty days prior to commencing construction, a site-specific Security Plan for the construction phase shall be developed and maintained at the project site. At least 60 days prior to the initial receipt of hazardous materials on-site, a site specific Security Plan and Vulnerability Assessment for the operational phase shall be developed and maintained at the project site. The project owner shall notify the CPM in writing that the Plan is available for review and approval at the project site.

Construction Security Plan

The Construction Security Plan must address:

1. Site fencing enclosing the construction area;
2. Use of security guards;
3. Check-in procedure or tag system for construction personnel and visitors;
4. Protocol for contacting law enforcement and the CPM in the event of suspicious activity or emergency; and
5. Evacuation procedures.

Operation Security Plan

The Operations Security Plan must address:

1. Permanent site fencing and security gate;
2. Use of security guards;
3. Security alarm for critical structures;
4. Protocol for contacting law enforcement and the CPM in the event of suspicious activity or emergency;
5. Evacuation procedures;
6. Perimeter breach detectors and on-site motion detectors;
7. Video or still camera monitoring system;
8. Fire alarm monitoring system;
9. Site personnel background checks; and
10. Site access for vendors and requirements for vendors delivering acutely hazardous materials, hydrogen gas, and 93 percent sulfuric acid to conduct personnel background security checks, consistent with the requirements for Hazardous Materials vendors to prepare and implement security plans as per 49 CFR 172.800 and to ensure that all hazardous materials drivers are in compliance with personnel background security checks as per 49 CFR Part 1572, Subparts A and B. In addition, the project owner shall prepare a Vulnerability Assessment and implement site security measures addressing acutely hazardous materials, hydrogen gas, and 93 percent sulfuric acid storage and transportation consistent with US EPA and US Department of Justice guidelines. The CPM may authorize modifications to these measures, or may require additional measures depending on circumstances unique to the facility, and in response to industry-related security concerns.

The language requirements of **COM-8** may be subject to replacement or termination pursuant to the Commission's future rulemaking or other action on security matters, where power plant owners have the opportunity to review and comment."

III. **PROJECT PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION**

On page 16, first paragraph, lines 6 and 7: delete the references to "oversized"

IV. ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT

A. TRANSMISSION SYSTEM ENGINEERING

1. On page 88 insert a new paragraph immediately before the findings and conclusions, which reads as follows:

“The Committee accepts the parties’ stipulation to reopen our evidentiary record to accept Staff’s November 19, 2003, supplemental analysis of reconductoring (Supplemental Analysis). Our Exhibit List herein is amended to accept the Supplemental Analysis as Exhibit 3G.5. We agree with Staff’s conclusions in the Supplemental Analysis of no environmental impact due to reconductoring.” (12/23/03 RT 4:14-6:5; 14:6-16.)

V. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

A. AIR QUALITY

1. Page 142, first full paragraph: Strike the last sentence, and insert in its place a new sentence, which reads:

“Based upon Staff’s comments at the Committee Conference, we will follow recent precedent and allow for a tracking system so that there is absolute certainty regarding the exchange of ERCs. (12/23/03 RT 31:13-37:8.)”

2. Conditions beginning on page 145.

- a. **AQ-SC1** is revised and now reads as follows:

“AQ-C1 The project owner shall fund all expenses for an on-site air quality construction mitigation manager (AQCMM) who shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with conditions **AQ-C2** through **AQ-C4** for the entire project site and linear facility construction. The on-site AQCMM may delegate responsibilities identified in Conditions **AQ-C1** through **AQ-C4** to one or more air quality construction mitigation monitors. The on-site AQCMM shall have full access to areas of construction of the project site and linear facilities, and shall have the authority to appeal to the CPM to have the CPM stop any or all construction activities as warranted by applicable construction mitigation conditions. The on-site AQCMM, and any air quality construction mitigation monitors responsible for compliance with the requirements of **AQ-C3**, **AQ-C4** and District Regulation VIII, shall have a current certification by the California Air Resources Board for Visible Emission Evaluation prior to the commencement of ground disturbance. The AQCMM may have responsibilities in addition to those described in this condition.

The on-site AQCMM shall not be terminated without written consent of the CPM.

Verification: At least 60 days prior to the start of ground disturbance, the project owner shall submit to the CPM, for approval, the name, current ARB Visible Emission Evaluation certificate, and contact information for the on-site AQCMM and air quality construction mitigation monitors.”

b. **AQ-SC2** is revised and now reads as follows:

“AQ-C2 The project owner shall provide a construction mitigation plan (CMP), for approval, which shows the steps that will be taken, and reporting requirements, to ensure compliance with conditions **AQ-C3** and **AQ-C4**.

Verification: At least 60 days prior to start any ground disturbance, the project owner shall submit to the CPM, for approval, the construction mitigation plan. The CPM will notify the project owner of any necessary modifications to the plan within 30 days from the date of receipt.”

c. **AQ-SC3** is revised in the pertinent sections as follows:

I. insert the following clause to the end of the sentence: “when construction activity occurs.”

J. now reads as follows: “At least the first 500 feet of any public roadway exiting from the construction site shall be swept twice daily on days when construction activity occurs, and twice daily on any other day when dirt or runoff from the construction site is visible on the public roadways.”

M. now reads as follows: Wind erosion control techniques, such as windbreaks, water, chemical dust suppressants, and vegetation shall be used on all construction areas that may be disturbed. Any windbreaks used shall remain in place until the soil is stabilized or permanently covered with vegetation.”

N. delete the content of the subsection, and re-designate the current section “o” as “n”.

Beginning with N.3, the remainder of **AQ-SC4** reads as follows:

“(3) All large construction diesel engines, which have a rating of 50 hp or more, shall meet, at a minimum, the Tier 1 ARB/EPA certified standards for off-road equipment unless certified by the on-site AQCMM that a certified engine is not available for a particular item of equipment. In the event a Tier 1 ARB/EPA certified engine is not available for any off-road engine larger than 50 hp, that engine shall be equipped with a catalyzed diesel particulate filter (soot filter), unless certified by engine manufacturers or the on-site AQCMM

that the use of such soot filters is not practical for specific engine types. For the purposes of this condition, a Tier 1 diesel engine is “not available” or the use of such soot filters is “not practical” if the AQCM in applying recognized industry practice certifies that:

- The Tier 1 diesel engine is not available. For purposes of this condition, “not available” means that a Tier 1 diesel engine certified by either CARB or EPA is: (i) not in existence at any location for use by the project owner at or near the time project construction commences; (ii) in existence but the construction equipment is intended to be on-site for ten (10) days or less or (iii) not available for a particular piece of equipment.
- Despite the project owner’s best efforts, use of the soot filter is not practical. For the purposes of this condition, “not practical” means any of the following: (i) the use of the soot filter is excessively reducing normal availability of the construction equipment due to increased downtime for maintenance and/or reduced power output due to an excessive increase in backpressure; (ii) the soot filter is causing or is reasonably expected to cause significant engine damage; (iii) the soot filter is causing or is reasonably expected to cause a significant risk to workers or the public; (iv) the construction equipment is intended to be on-site for ten (10) days or less or (v) other good cause approved by the CPM.

O. Any conflict between mitigation measures (a) through (m) and District Rules 8021 through 8081 will be identified in the CMP. In the event such a conflict precludes compliance with both the CEC and District requirements, not including District exemption and applicability thresholds, which reduce or eliminate fugitive dust control requirements, the provisions of District rules shall govern.

P. Observations of visual dust plumes, and/or a differential in the downwind minus upwind PM₁₀ instrument results of 5-ug/m³ or more would indicate that the existing mitigation measures are not resulting in effective mitigation. The CMM shall implement the following procedures for additional mitigation measures if the CMM determines that the existing mitigation measures are not resulting in effective mitigation:

Step One--The CMM shall direct more aggressive application of the existing mitigation methods within 15 minutes of making such a determination.

Step Two--The CMM shall direct implementation of additional methods of dust suppression if Step One fails to result in adequate mitigation within 30 minutes of the original determination.

Step Three--The CMM shall direct a temporary shutdown of the source of the emissions if Step Two fails to result in adequate

mitigation within one hour of the original determination. The activity shall not be restarted until the implemented dust control mitigation is effective or, due to changed conditions, unnecessary. The owner/operator may appeal to the CPM any directive from the CMM to shutdown a source, provided that the shutdown shall go into effect within one hour of the original determination unless overruled by the CPM before that time.”

Verification: In the MCR, the project owner shall provide the CPM a copy of the construction mitigation report and all diesel fuel purchase records, including quantity purchased, which clearly demonstrates compliance with condition **AQ-C3**.”

d. **AQ-SC4** is revised and now reads as follows:

“AQ-C4 No construction activities are allowed to cause visible emissions at or beyond the project site fenced property boundary. No construction activities are allowed to cause visible plumes that exceed 20 percent opacity at any location on the construction site. No construction activities are allowed to cause any visible plume in excess of 200 feet beyond the centerline of the construction of linear facilities, or cause visible plumes to occur within 100 feet upwind of any occupied structures located outside the construction area.”

Verification: The on-site AQCM shall conduct a visible emission evaluation at the property boundary, or 200 feet from the center of construction activities at the linear facility, or adjacent to occupied structures, each time he/she sees excessive fugitive dust from the construction or linear facility site. The records of the visible emission evaluations shall be maintained at the construction site and shall be provided to the CPM on the monthly construction report.

e. **AQ-SC9**, on pages 150-51, is revised and now reads as follows:

“AQ-C9 The project owner shall submit to the CPM a copy of a Tracking System Report prepared by the District. The Tracking System Report shall describe the status of the District’s accounting, under the USEPA’s pending rulemaking action of February 13, 2003 to approve the District’s NSR rules, of pre-1990 ERCs surrendered by the project owner or any predecessor for the SJVEC project. Should USEPA ultimately reject the project owner’s use of pre-1990 credits, the project owner will file with the CPM an amendment containing a new offset package that meets USEPA requirements, and remedies the ERC shortfall.”

Verifications:

1. The project owner shall submit the Tracking System Report to the CPM no later than 30 days following its release by the District.

2. The project owner shall notify the CPM within seven days of any written notice of a USEPA determination that the use of pre-1990 ERCs surrendered for the SJVEC project has been disapproved. Within 60 days of receiving that notice, the project owner shall submit a request for an amendment that includes a new ERC package, which meets USEPA requirements and remedies the ERC shortfall.

- f. **AQ-SC10-12**, Staff's "tracking conditions" are adopted, as follows:

AQ-C10 ERC Certificate Numbers S-1340-2, S-1280-2, N-272-2, and S-1554-2 shall be used to supply the required **NO_x** offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. The certificates identified in this condition shall be surrendered only after demonstrating compliance with Conditions **AQ-C7** and **AQ-C9**.

Verification: At least 60 days prior to commencing turbine first fire, the project owner shall surrender the identified ERC certificates and in the amounts shown in **AQ-105** to the District and provide documentation of that surrender to the CPM. Changes to the offsetting proposal must be provided to the District and CPM for review, public noticing, and approval.

AQ-C11 ERC Certificate Number C-348-1, N-303-1, and S-1665-1 shall be used to supply the required **VOC** offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. The certificates identified in this condition shall be surrendered only after demonstrating compliance with Conditions **AQ-C7** and **AQ-C9**.

Verification: At least 60 days prior to commencing turbine first fire, the project owner shall surrender the identified ERC certificates and in the amounts shown in **AQ-105** to the District and provide

documentation of that surrender to the CPM. Changes to the offsetting proposal must be provided to the District and CPM for review, public noticing, and approval.

AQ-C12 ERC Certificate Numbers C-347-4, S-1577-4, S-1578-4, S-1666-4, S-1682-4, S-1683-4, S-1684-4, S-1685-4, S-1686-4, S-1687-4, S-1688-4, S-1689-4, S-1690-4, S-1691-4, S-1692-4, S-1693-4, N-297-4, C-447-4, C-448-4, C-449-4 and N-208-4 shall be used to supply the required PM₁₀ offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct.

Verification: At least 60 days prior to commencing turbine first fire, the project owner shall surrender the identified ERC certificates and in the amounts shown in **AQ-105** to the District and provide documentation of that surrender to the CPM. Changes to the offsetting proposal must be provided to the District and CPM for review, public noticing, and approval.

- g. **AQ-SC-13** is a new condition to enforce the Committee's requirement that Applicant must provide offsets for its SO₂ emissions, as follows:

AQ-C13 The project owner shall surrender SO₂ ERC certificates from the SJVAPCD ERC bank in the amount of no less than 10,908 pounds per quarter.

Verification: At least 60 days prior to commencing turbine first fire, the project owner shall surrender the ERC certificates in the required amounts to the District and provide documentation of that surrender to the CPM.

B. PUBLIC HEALTH

- 1. Page 187, first full paragraph, insert the phrase "high levels of" in line one before the word "diesel", and in the last line of the paragraph before the word "occupational"

C. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT

- 1. Page 204, change "4. Sodium Hydroxide" to 3. Sodium Hydroxide"

2. Page 205, last sentence in second paragraph: change “100” to “50”
3. Page 207, Findings of Fact.
 - a. number 2 now reads as follows:

“2. The California Accidental Release Prevention Program (Cal-ARP) directs owners of facilities such as the SJVEC that will store or handle specific hazardous materials in quantities that exceed specified thresholds for each material, to develop a Risk Management Plan that must be submitted to appropriate local authorities, the USEPA, and the designated local Administering Agency for review and approval.”
 - b. In number 5. Delete from the beginning of the sentence the word “Acutely.”
4. Conditions on page 209.
 - a. **HAZ-1**, second line, after “Appendix C”, insert the clause “to the Staff Assessment (Ex. 2a)”
 - b. **HAZ-2, Verification**, next to last line, change “RPM” to “RMP”
 - c. **HAZ-3** now reads as follows:

If aqueous ammonia is used, the project owner shall develop and implement a Safety Management Plan (SMP) for delivery of aqueous ammonia. The SMP shall include a section describing all measures to be implemented to prevent mixing of aqueous ammonia with incompatible hazardous materials.

If hydrogen is used, the project owner shall develop and implement an SMP for delivery of hydrogen. The SMP for hydrogen shall include a section containing specifics about the storage and handling of hydrogen, to include a plot plan describing the location of the storage, and of other flammable materials.

The various SMPs may be incorporated into one document, and shall include, if not already present, sections on:

 - Safety procedures,
 - Protective equipment requirements,
 - Required training, and

- Safety checklists.

The SMP shall be submitted to the CPM for approval.

- d. **HAZ-3, Verification**, next to last line, delete “a”, and after “plan”, insert “(s)”
- e. **HAZ-5**, p. 210, second line: change “100” to “50”

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

A. SOIL AND WATER RESOURCES

1. Page 255, change “2004” to “2006.”
2. Page 258, finding number 1 now reads: “Soils in the project area have low susceptibility to wind and water erosion.”
3. Page 258, finding number 2 now reads: Soils at the site may have elevated levels of pesticides. Applicant will address this potential risk by complying with **Conditions WASTE-4 & 6**.

B. GEOLOGICAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

1. Pages 275, 277 and 278. The reference to “Society of Vertebrate Paleontologist” is changed to reflect the accurate name of “Society of Vertebrate Paleontology”¹
2. Page 275, **Condition PAL-4, Verification** number 2 now reads as follows:

 “2. If the project owner is planning on preparing a video at the initial training for use in interim training, the video shall be provided to the CPM for review and approval within seven days of the first training. Any revised videos shall be submitted for CPM review and approval within seven days of the receipt of responses from the CPM.”

VII. LOCAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

A. SOCIOECONOMICS

1. Page 298, under Construction Impacts, fourth bullet, insert the clause “for the plant” after “personnel”

¹ This change is also reflected on page 15 of our Appendix A to the Decision.

2. delete the word “secondary” and in its place insert the word “indirect”

B. NOISE

1. Page 320, note 74, first line, change the “**Table 4**” reference to “**Table 5**”
2. Page 326, finding number seven:
 - a. line 4, change “46” to “47”
 - b. last line, change “45” to “46”
3. Page 332, insert a new condition, **NOISE 9**, as follows:

“**NOISE-9** The project owner shall offer to pay for the following noise attenuating upgrades to the residences identified as R1, R2, R3, R4, R5 (two residences), R6, and R10 (two residences) in Figure 8.5-2 of the Application for Certification for the Central Valley Energy Center (Volumes 1 & 2), filed October 31, 2001: Replacement of single-pane windows with dual-pane windows:

- Replacement of hollow-core exterior doors with solid-core doors and weather stripping;
- Air conditioning; and
- Additional sound insulation in exterior walls

After consulting with the project owner, the owner of each residence may select any or all of the above upgrades that the residence owner decides, in his or her sole judgement are appropriate. The residence owner and the project owner shall select a mutually acceptable contractor to perform the upgrades. The project owner shall pay the cost of the upgrades. A residence owner may decline to accept any or all of the above upgrades.

Verification: Upgrades shall, unless impossible due to circumstances beyond the project owner’s control, be installed prior to the start of operation. In the first annual compliance report after start of operation, the project owner shall include documentation certifying that the noise attenuating upgrades measures either: 1) were installed on the specified residences at the project owner’s expense, 2) were already a feature of the residence; or 3) that installation was offered but refused by an owner.”

C. **VISUAL RESOURCES**

1. **VIS-3**

- a. line one: delete the term “first turbine roll”, and in its place insert the term “commercial operation”
- b. Following subparagraph “f.”, delete the current paragraph and insert in its place the following paragraph:

“The project owner may, at its own risk, order equipment with factory surface treatment prior to approval of the treatment plan. If the CPM does not approve the treatment plan, the project owner shall have the equipment modified at its expense, as necessary, to obtain the required approval. Under no circumstances shall the project owner install the equipment at the project site prior to CPM approval of the treatment plan.”

- c. In the **Verification** number 2: delete the term “first turbine roll”, and in its place insert the term “commercial operation”

2. **VIS-4**

- a. line one, the language within quotes now references the “Attachment to the Staff Assessment”
- b. The **Verifications** now read as follows:

Verifications:

1. At least 60 days prior to ordering any permanent exterior lighting, the project owner shall contact the CPM to arrange a meeting to discuss the documentation required in the lighting control plan.
2. At least 45 days prior to ordering any permanent exterior lighting, the project owner shall submit to the CPM for review and approval a lighting control plan that describes the measures to be used and demonstrates that the requirements of this condition will be satisfied. The project owner shall not order any exterior lighting until it receives CPM approval of the lighting control plan.
3. Within 30 days after start of commercial operation, the project owner shall notify the CPM that the lighting has been completed and is ready for inspection. If the CPM notifies the project owner that modifications to the lighting are needed to satisfy the lighting requirements specified in this Condition, within 60 days

of receiving that notification, the project owner shall implement the modification and notify the CPM that the modifications have been completed.

4. The project owner shall report any complaints about permanent lighting and provide documentation of resolution in the Annual Compliance Report, accompanied by any lighting complaint resolution forms for that year.”

3. **VIS-5**

- a. subparagraph d, the language within quotes now references the “Attachment to the Staff Assessment”
- b. The **Verifications** now read as follows:

Verifications:

1. Within 7 days after the first use of construction lighting, the project owner shall notify the CPM that the lighting is ready for inspection.
2. If the CPM notifies the project owner that modifications to the lighting are needed to minimize impacts, within 15 days of receiving that notification the project owner shall implement the necessary modifications and notify the CPM that the modifications have been completed.
3. The project owner shall report any lighting complaints and provide documentation of resolution in the Monthly Compliance Report, for that year accompanied by any lighting complaint resolution forms for the month.”

For information concerning public participation at the Business Meeting, contact the Commission's Public Adviser, Margret J. Kim, at 916-654-4489 or, toll free, at 1-800-822-6228; or e-mail: [pao@energy.state.ca.us].

Technical questions concerning the project should be addressed to the Commission's Project Manager Mathew Trask, at (916) 654-4067 or e-mail at: [mtrask@energy.state.ca.us]

Questions of a legal or procedural nature should be directed to Major Williams, Jr., the Hearing Officer, at (916) 654-3893 or e-mail at: [mwilliam@energy.state.ca.us]

If you require special accommodation to participate in this proceeding, please contact Lourdes Quiroz at (916) 654-5146 or at [lquiroz@energy.state.ca.us], at least five days prior to the hearing.

Media inquiries should be directed to Claudia Chandler, Assistant Executive Director for Media and Public Communications, at (916) 654-4989 or e-mail at: [energia@energy.ca.gov]

Information concerning the status of the project, as well as notices and other relevant documents, is also available on the Energy Commission's Internet home page at: [<http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/sanjoaquin/index.html>]

Dated: January 12, 2004, at Sacramento, California.

ARTHUR H. ROSENFELD
Commissioner and Presiding Member
SJVEC AFC Committee

JOHN L. GEESMAN
Commissioner and Associate Member
SJVEC AFC Committee